

RESEARCH

Open Access

Multiple positive solutions for a class of quasi-linear elliptic equations involving concave-convex nonlinearities and Hardy terms

Tsing-San Hsu

Correspondence: tshsu@mail.cgu.edu.twCenter for General Education,
Chang Gung University, Kwei-San,
Tao-Yuan 333, Taiwan ROC**Abstract**

In this paper, we are concerned with the following quasilinear elliptic equation

$$-\Delta_p u - \mu \frac{|u|^{p-2}u}{|x|^p} = \lambda f(x)|u|^{q-2}u + g(x)|u|^{p^*-2}u \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega,$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a smooth domain with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ such that $0 \in \Omega$, $\Delta_p u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$, $1 < p < N$, $\mu < \bar{\mu} = (\frac{N-p}{p})^p$, $\lambda > 0$, $1 < q < p$, sign-changing weight functions f and g are continuous functions on $\bar{\Omega}$, $\bar{\mu} = (\frac{N-p}{p})^p$ is the best Hardy constant and $p^* = \frac{Np}{N-p}$ is the critical Sobolev exponent. By extracting the Palais-Smale sequence in the Nehari manifold, the multiplicity of positive solutions to this equation is verified.

Keywords: Multiple positive solutions, critical Sobolev exponent, concave-convex, Hardy terms, sign-changing weights

1 Introduction and main results

Let Ω be a smooth domain (not necessarily bounded) in \mathbb{R}^N ($N \geq 3$) with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ such that $0 \in \Omega$. We will study the multiplicity of positive solutions for the following quasilinear elliptic equation

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u - \mu \frac{|u|^{p-2}u}{|x|^p} = \lambda f(x)|u|^{q-2}u + g(x)|u|^{p^*-2}u, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\Delta_p u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$, $1 < p < N$, $\mu < \bar{\mu} = (\frac{N-p}{p})^p$, $\bar{\mu}$ is the best Hardy constant, $\lambda > 0$, $1 < q < p$, $p^* = \frac{Np}{N-p}$ is the critical Sobolev exponent and the weight functions $f, g : \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous, which change sign on Ω .

Let $\mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be the completion of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm $(\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p dx)^{1/p}$. The energy functional of (1.1) is defined on $\mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ by

$$J_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_\Omega \left(|\nabla u|^p - \mu \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p} \right) dx - \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_\Omega f|u|^q dx - \frac{1}{p^*} \int_\Omega g|u|^{p^*} dx.$$

Then $J_\lambda \in C^1(\mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\Omega), \mathbb{R})$. $u \in \mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ is said to be a solution of (1.1) if $\langle J'_\lambda(u), v \rangle = 0$ for all $v \in \mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and a solution of (1.1) is a critical point of J_λ .

Problem (1.1) is related to the well-known Hardy inequality [1,2]:

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p} dx \leq \frac{1}{\bar{\mu}} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx, \quad \forall u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega).$$

By the Hardy inequality, $\mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ has the equivalent norm $\|u\|_\mu$, where

$$\|u\|_\mu^p = \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^p - \mu \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p} \right) dx, \quad \mu \in (-\infty, \bar{\mu}).$$

Therefore, for $1 < p < N$, and $\mu < \bar{\mu}$, we can define the best Sobolev constant:

$$S_\mu(\Omega) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^p - \mu \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p} \right) dx}{\left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^{p^*} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*}}}. \quad (1.2)$$

It is well known that $S_\mu(\Omega) = S_\mu(\mathbb{R}^N) = S_\mu$. Note that $S_\mu = S_0$ when $\mu \leq 0$ [3].

Such kind of problem with critical exponents and nonnegative weight functions has been extensively studied by many authors. We refer, e.g., in bounded domains and for $p = 2$ to [4-6] and for $p > 1$ to [7-11], while in \mathbb{R}^N and for $p = 2$ to [12,13], and for $p > 1$ to [3,14-17], and the references therein.

In the present paper, our research is mainly related to (1.1) with $1 < q < p < N$, the critical exponent and weight functions f, g that change sign on Ω . When $p = 2$, $1 < q < 2$, $\mu \in [0, \bar{\mu})$, f, g are sign changing and Ω is bounded, [18] studied (1.1) and obtained that there exists $\Lambda > 0$ such that (1.1) has at least two positive solutions for all $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda)$. For the case $p \neq 2$, [19] studied (1.1) and obtained the multiplicity of positive solutions when $1 < q < p < N$, $\mu = 0$, f, g are sign changing and Ω is bounded. However, little has been done for this type of problem (1.1). Recently, Wang et al. [11] have studied (1.1) in a bounded domain Ω under the assumptions $1 < q < p < N$, $N > p^2$, $-\infty < \mu < \bar{\mu}$ and f, g are nonnegative. They also proved that there existence of $\Lambda_0 > 0$ such that for $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_0)$, (1.1) possesses at least two positive solutions. In this paper, we study (1.1) and extend the results of [11,18,19] to the more general case $1 < q < p < N$, $-\infty < \mu < \bar{\mu}$, f, g are sign changing and Ω is a smooth domain (not necessarily bounded) in \mathbb{R}^N ($N \geq 3$). By extracting the Palais-Smale sequence in the Nehari manifold, the existence of at least two positive solutions of (1.1) is verified.

The following assumptions are used in this paper:

(H) $\mu < \bar{\mu}$, $\lambda > 0$, $1 < q < p < N$, $N \geq 3$.

(f₁) $f \in C(\bar{\Omega}) \cap L^{q^*}(\Omega)$ ($q^* = \frac{p^*}{p^*-q}$) $f^+ = \max\{f, 0\} \not\equiv 0$ in Ω .

(f₂) There exist β_0 and $\rho_0 > 0$ such that $B(x_0; 2\rho_0) \subset \Omega$ and $f(x) \geq \beta_0$ for all $x \in B(x_0; 2\rho_0)$

(g₁) $g \in C(\bar{\Omega}) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $g^+ = \max\{g, 0\} \not\equiv 0$ in Ω .

(g₂) There exist $x_0 \in \Omega$ and $\beta > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |g|_\infty &= g(x_0) = \max_{x \in \Omega} g(x), \quad g(x) > 0, \forall x \in \Omega, \\ g(x) &= g(x_0) + o(|x - x_0|^\beta) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

where $|\cdot|_\infty$ denotes the $L^\infty(\Omega)$ norm.

Set

$$\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_1(\mu) = \left(\frac{p-q}{(p^*-q)|g^+|_\infty} \right)^{\frac{p-q}{p^*-p}} \left(\frac{p^*-p}{(p^*-q)|f^+|_{q^*}} \right) S_\mu^{\frac{N}{p^*}(p-q)+\frac{q}{p}}. \quad (1.3)$$

The main results of this paper are concluded in the following theorems. When Ω is an unbounded domain, the conclusions are new to the best of our knowledge.

Theorem 1.1 *Suppose (\mathcal{H}) , (f_1) and (g_1) hold. Then, (1.1) has at least one positive solution for all $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_1)$.*

Theorem 1.2 *Suppose (\mathcal{H}) , $(f_1) - (g_2)$ hold, and γ is the constant defined as in Lemma 2.2. If $0 \leq \mu < \bar{\mu}$, $x_0 = 0$ and $\beta \geq p\gamma$, then (1.1) has at least two positive solutions for all $\lambda \in (0, \frac{q}{p}\Lambda_1)$.*

Theorem 1.3 *Suppose (\mathcal{H}) , $(f_1) - (g_2)$ hold. If $\mu < 0$, $x_0 \neq 0$, $\beta \geq \frac{N-p}{p-1}$ and $N \leq p^2$, then (1.1) has at least two positive solutions for all $\lambda \in (0, \frac{q}{p}\Lambda_1(0))$.*

Remark 1.4 *As Ω is a bounded smooth domain and $p = 2$, the results of Theorems 1.1, 1.2 are improvements of the main results of [18].*

Remark 1.5 *As Ω is a bounded smooth domain and $p \neq 2$, $\mu = 0$, then the results of Theorems 1.1, 1.2 in this case are the same as the known results in [19].*

Remark 1.6 *In this remark, we consider that Ω is a bounded domain. In [11], Wang et al. considered (1.1) with $\mu < \bar{\mu}$, $\lambda > 0$ and $1 < q < p < p^2 < N$. As $0 \leq \mu < \bar{\mu}$ and $1 < q < p < N$, the results of Theorems 1.1, 1.2 are improvements of the main results of [11]. As $\mu < 0$ and $1 < q < p < N \leq p^2$, Theorem 1.3 is the complement to the results in [11], Theorem 1.3].*

This paper is organized as follows. Some preliminaries and properties of the Nehari manifold are established in Sections 2 and 3, and Theorems 1.1-1.3 are proved in Sections 4-6, respectively. Before ending this section, we explain some notations employed in this paper. In the following argument, we always employ C and C_i to denote various positive constants and omit dx in integral for convenience. $B(x_0; R)$ is the ball centered at $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ with the radius $R > 0$, $(\mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\Omega))^{-1}$ denotes the dual space of $\mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, the norm in $L^p(\Omega)$ is denoted by $|\cdot|_p$, the quantity $O(\varepsilon^t)$ denotes $|O(\varepsilon^t)/\varepsilon^t| \leq C$, $o(\varepsilon^t)$ means $|o(\varepsilon^t)/\varepsilon^t| \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $o(1)$ is a generic infinitesimal value. In particular, the quantity $O_1(\varepsilon^t)$ means that there exist $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that $C_1\varepsilon^t \leq O_1(\varepsilon^t) \leq C_2\varepsilon^t$ as ε is small enough.

2 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, (f_1) and (g_1) will be assumed. In this section, we will establish several preliminary lemmas. To this end, we first recall a result on the extremal functions of $S_{\mu,s}$.

Lemma 2.1 [16] *Assume that $1 < p < N$ and $0 \leq \mu < \bar{\mu}$. Then, the limiting problem*

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u - \mu \frac{u^{p-1}}{|x|^p} = u^{p^*-1}, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}, \\ u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad u > 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

has positive radial ground states

$$V_{p,\mu,\varepsilon}(x) = \varepsilon^{-\frac{N-p}{p}} U_{p,\mu}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) = \varepsilon^{-\frac{N-p}{p}} U_{p,\mu}\left(\frac{|x|}{\varepsilon}\right), \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon > 0,$$

that satisfy

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla V_{p,\mu,\varepsilon}(x)|^p - \mu \frac{|V_{p,\mu,\varepsilon}(x)|^p}{|x|^p} \right) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |V_{p,\mu,\varepsilon}(x)|^{p^*} = S_\mu^{\frac{N}{p}}.$$

Furthermore, $U_{p,\mu}(|x|) = U_{p,\mu}(r)$ is decreasing and has the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} U_{p,\mu}(1) &= \left(\frac{N(\bar{\mu} - \mu)}{N - p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p^* - p}}, \\ \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} r^{a(\mu)} U_{p,\mu}(r) &= c_1 > 0, \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} r^{a(\mu)+1} |U'_{p,\mu}(r)| = c_1 a(\mu) \geq 0, \\ \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} r^{b(\mu)} U_{p,\mu}(r) &= c_2 > 0, \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} r^{b(\mu)+1} |U'_{p,\mu}(r)| = c_2 b(\mu) > 0, \\ c_3 \leq U_{p,\mu}(r) (r^{\frac{a(\mu)}{\delta}} + r^{\frac{b(\mu)}{\delta}})^\delta &\leq c_4, \quad \delta := \frac{N-p}{p}, \end{aligned}$$

where c_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) are positive constants depending on N, μ and p , and $a(\mu)$ and $b(\mu)$ are the zeros of the function $h(t) = (p-1)t^p - (N-p)t^{p-1} + \mu$, $t \geq 0$, satisfying $0 \leq a(\mu) < \frac{N-p}{p} < b(\mu) \leq \frac{N-p}{p-1}$.

Take $\rho > 0$ small enough such that $B(0; \rho) \subset \Omega$, and define the function

$$u_\varepsilon(x) = \eta(x) V_{p,\mu,\varepsilon}(x) = \varepsilon^{-\frac{N-p}{p}} \eta(x) U_{p,\mu}\left(\frac{|x|}{\varepsilon}\right), \quad (2.2)$$

where $\eta \in C_0^\infty(B(0; \rho))$ is a cutoff function such that $\eta(x) \equiv 1$ in $B(0, \frac{\rho}{2})$.

Lemma 2.2 [9,20] Suppose $1 < p < N$ and $0 \leq \mu < \bar{\mu}$. Then, the following estimates hold when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_\varepsilon\|_\mu^p &= S_\mu^{\frac{N}{p}} + O(\varepsilon^{p\gamma}), \\ \int_\Omega |u_\varepsilon|^{p^*} &= S_\mu^{\frac{N}{p}} + O(\varepsilon^{p^*\gamma}), \\ \int_\Omega |u_\varepsilon|^q &= \begin{cases} O_1(\varepsilon^\theta), & \frac{N}{b(\mu)} < q < p^*, \\ O_1(\varepsilon^\theta) \ln \varepsilon, & q = \frac{N}{b(\mu)}, \\ O_1(\varepsilon^{q\gamma}), & 1 \leq q < \frac{N}{b(\mu)}, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta = \frac{N-p}{p}$, $\theta = N - \frac{N-p}{p}q$ and $\gamma = b(\mu) - \delta$.

We also recall the following known result by Ben-Naoum, Troestler and Willem, which will be employed for the energy functional.

Lemma 2.3 [21] Let Ω be an domain, not necessarily bounded, in \mathbb{R}^N , $1 \leq p < N$, $k(x) \in L^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-q}}(\Omega)$ and $k(x) \in L^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-q}}(\Omega)$. Then, the functional

$$\mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : u \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k(x) |u|^q dx$$

is well-defined and weakly continuous.

3 Nehari manifold

As J_λ is not bounded below on $\mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, we need to study J_λ on the Nehari manifold

$$\mathcal{N}_\lambda = \{u \in \mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} : \langle J'_\lambda(u), u \rangle = 0\}.$$

Note that \mathcal{N}_λ contains all solutions of (1.1) and $u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$ if and only if

$$\|u\|_\mu^p - \lambda \int_\Omega f|u|^q - \int_\Omega g|u|^{p^*} = 0. \quad (3.1)$$

Lemma 3.1 J_λ is coercive and bounded below on \mathcal{N}_λ .

Proof Suppose $u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$. From (f_1) , (3.1), the Hölder inequality and Sobolev embedding theorem, we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u) &= \frac{p^* - p}{pp^*} \|u\|_\mu^p - \lambda \frac{p^* - q}{p^* q} \int_\Omega f|u|^q \\ &\geq \frac{1}{N} \|u\|_\mu^p - \lambda \frac{p^* - q}{p^* q} |f^+|_{q^*} |u|_{p^*}^q \\ &\geq \frac{1}{N} \|u\|_\mu^p - \lambda \frac{p^* - q}{p^* q} |f^+|_{q^*} S_\mu^{-\frac{q}{p}} \|u\|_\mu^q. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Thus, J_λ is coercive and bounded below on \mathcal{N}_λ . \square

Define $\psi_\lambda(u) = \langle J'_\lambda(u), u \rangle$. Then, for $u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi'_\lambda(u), u \rangle &= p \|u\|_\mu^p - q \lambda \int_\Omega f|u|^q - p^* \int_\Omega g|u|^{p^*} \\ &= (p - q) \|u\|_\mu^p - (p^* - q) \int_\Omega g|u|^{p^*} \\ &= \lambda (p^* - q) \int_\Omega f|u|^q - (p^* - p) \|u\|_\mu^p. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Arguing as in [22], we split \mathcal{N}_λ into three parts:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+ &= \{u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda : \langle \psi'_\lambda(u), u \rangle > 0\}, \\ \mathcal{N}_\lambda^0 &= \{u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda : \langle \psi'_\lambda(u), u \rangle = 0\}, \\ \mathcal{N}_\lambda^- &= \{u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda : \langle \psi'_\lambda(u), u \rangle < 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.2 Suppose u_λ is a local minimizer of J_λ on \mathcal{N}_λ and $u_\lambda \notin \mathcal{N}_\lambda^0$.

Then, $J'_\lambda(u_\lambda) = 0$ in $(\mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\Omega))^{-1}$.

Proof The proof is similar to [[23], Theorem 2.3] and is omitted. \square

Lemma 3.3 $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^0 \neq \emptyset$ for all $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_1)$.

Proof We argue by contradiction. Suppose that there exists $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_1)$ such that $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^0 \neq \emptyset$. Then, the fact $u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^0$ and (3.3) imply that

$$\|u\|_\mu^p = \frac{p^* - q}{p - q} \int_\Omega g|u|^{p^*},$$

and

$$\|u\|_\mu^p = \lambda \frac{p^* - q}{p^* - p} \int_\Omega f|u|^q.$$

By (f_1) , (g_1) , the Hölder inequality and Sobolev embedding theorem, we have that

$$\|u\|_\mu \geq \left[\frac{p-q}{(p^*-q)|g^+|_\infty} \right]^{\frac{1}{p^*-p}} S_\mu^{\frac{N}{p^2}},$$

and

$$\|u\|_\mu \leq \left[\lambda \frac{p^*-q}{p^*-p} |f^+|_{q^*} S_\mu^{-\frac{q}{p}} \right]^{\frac{1}{p-q}}.$$

Consequently,

$$\lambda \geq \left(\frac{p-q}{(p^*-q)|g^+|_\infty} \right)^{\frac{p-q}{p^*-p}} \left(\frac{p^*-p}{(p^*-q)|f^+|_{q^*}} \right) S_\mu^{\frac{N}{p^2}(p-q)+\frac{q}{p}} = \Lambda_1,$$

which is a contradiction. \square

For each $u \in \mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with $\int_\Omega g|u|^{p^*} > 0$, we set

$$t_{\max} = \left(\frac{(p-q)\|u\|_\mu^p}{(p^*-q)\int_\Omega g|u|^{p^*}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p^*-p}} > 0.$$

Lemma 3.4 Suppose that $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_1)$ and $u \in \mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is a function satisfying with $\int_\Omega g|u|^{p^*} > 0$.

(i) If $\int_\Omega f|u|^q \leq 0$, then there exists a unique $t^- > t_{\max}$ such that $t^-u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$ and

$$J_\lambda(t^-u) = \sup_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(tu).$$

(ii) If $\int_\Omega f|u|^q \leq 0$, then there exists a unique t^\pm such that $0 < t^+ < t_{\max} < t^-$, $t^+u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$ and $t^-u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$. Moreover,

$$J_\lambda(t^+u) = \inf_{0 \leq t \leq t_{\max}} J_\lambda(tu), \quad J_\lambda(t^-u) = \sup_{t \geq t^+} J_\lambda(tu).$$

Proof See Brown-Wu [[24], Lemma 2.6]. \square

We remark that it follows Lemma 3.3, $\mathcal{N}_\lambda = \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+ \cup \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$ for all $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_1)$. Furthermore, by Lemma 3.4, it follows that \mathcal{N}_λ^+ and \mathcal{N}_λ^- are nonempty, and by Lemma 3.1, we may define

$$\alpha_\lambda = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda} J_\lambda(u), \quad \alpha_\lambda^+ = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+} J_\lambda(u), \quad \alpha_\lambda^- = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-} J_\lambda(u).$$

Lemma 3.5 (i) If $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_1)$, then we have $\alpha_\lambda \leq \alpha_\lambda^+ < 0$.

(ii) If $\lambda \in (0, \frac{q}{p}\Lambda_1)$, then $\alpha_\lambda^- > d_0$ for some positive constant d_0 .

In particular, for each $\lambda \in (0, \frac{q}{p}\Lambda_1)$, we have $\alpha_\lambda = \alpha_\lambda^+ < 0 < \alpha_\lambda^-$.

Proof (i) Suppose that $u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$. From (3.3), it follows that

$$\frac{p-q}{p^*-q} \|u\|_\mu^p > \int_\Omega g|u|^{p^*}. \quad (3.4)$$

According to (3.1) and (3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u) &= \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right) \|u\|_\mu^p + \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right) \int_\Omega g|u|^{p^*} \\ &< \left[\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right) \left(\frac{p-q}{p^*-q}\right)\right] \|u\|_\mu^p \\ &= -\frac{p-q}{qN} \|u\|_\mu^p < 0. \end{aligned}$$

By the definitions of α_λ and α_λ^+ , we get that $\alpha_\lambda \leq \alpha_\lambda^+ < 0$.

(ii) Suppose $\lambda \in (0, \frac{q}{p}\Lambda_1)$ and $u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$. Then, (3.3) implies that

$$\frac{p-q}{p^*-q} \|u\|_\mu^p < \int_\Omega |u|^{p^*}. \quad (3.5)$$

Moreover, by (g_1) and the Sobolev embedding theorem, we have

$$\int_\Omega g|u|^{p^*} \leq |g^+|_\infty S_\mu^{-\frac{p^*}{p}} \|u\|_\mu^{p^*}. \quad (3.6)$$

From (3.5) and (3.6), it follows that

$$\|u\|_\mu > \left(\frac{p-q}{(p^*-q)|g^+|_\infty}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^*-p}} S_\mu^{\frac{N}{p^2}} \text{ for all } u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-. \quad (3.7)$$

By (3.2) and (3.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u) &\geq \|u\|_\mu^q \left[\frac{1}{N} \|u\|_\mu^{p-q} - \lambda \frac{p^*-q}{p^*q} |f^+|_{q^*} S_\mu^{-\frac{q}{p}} \right] \\ &> \left(\frac{p-q}{(p^*-q)|g^+|_\infty}\right)^{\frac{q}{p^*-p}} S_\mu^{\frac{qN}{p^2}} \left[\frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{p-q}{(p^*-q)|g^+|_\infty}\right)^{\frac{p-q}{p^*-p}} S_\mu^{\frac{N(p-q)}{p^2}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \lambda \frac{p^*-q}{p^*q} |f^+|_{q^*} S_\mu^{-\frac{q}{p}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$J_\lambda(u) > d_0 \text{ for all } u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-,$$

for some positive constant d_0 . \square

Remark 3.6 If $\lambda \in (0, \frac{q}{p}\Lambda_0)$, then by Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5, for each $u \in \mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with $\int_\Omega g|u|^{p^*} > 0$, we can easily deduce that

$$t^-u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^- \text{ and } J_\lambda(t^-u) = \sup_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(tu) \geq \alpha_\lambda^- > 0.$$

4 Proof of Theorem 1.1

First, we define the Palais-Smale (simply by (PS)) sequences, (PS)-values and (PS)-conditions in $\mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ for J_λ as follows:

Definition 4.1 (i) For $c \in \mathbb{R}$, a sequence $\{u_n\}$ is a $(PS)_c$ -sequence in $\mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ for J_λ if $J_\lambda(u_n) = c + o(1)$ and $(J_\lambda)'(u_n) = o(1)$ strongly in $(\mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\Omega))^{-1}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

(ii) $c \in \mathbb{R}$ is a (PS) -value in $\mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ for J_λ if there exists a $(PS)_c$ -sequence in $\mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ for J_λ .

(iii) J_λ satisfies the $(PS)_c$ -condition in $\mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ if any $(PS)_c$ -sequence $\{u_n\}$ in $\mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ for J_λ contains a convergent subsequence.

Lemma 4.2 (i) If $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_1)$, then J_λ has a $(PS)_{\alpha_\lambda}$ -sequence $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{N}_\lambda$.

(ii) If $\lambda \in (0, \frac{q}{p}\Lambda_1)$, then J_λ has a $(PS)_{\alpha_\lambda}$ -sequence $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$.

Proof The proof is similar to [19,25] and the details are omitted. \square

Now, we establish the existence of a local minimum for J_λ on \mathcal{N}_λ .

Theorem 4.3 Suppose that $N \geq 3$, $\mu < \bar{\mu}$, $1 < q < p < N$ and the conditions (f_1) , (g_1) hold. If $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_1)$, then there exists $u_\lambda \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$ such that

- (i) $J_\lambda(u_\lambda) = \alpha_\lambda = \alpha_\lambda^+$,
- (ii) u_λ is a positive solution of (1.1),
- (iii) $\|u_\lambda\|_\mu \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0^+$.

Proof By Lemma 4.2 (i), there exists a minimizing sequence $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{N}_\lambda$ such that

$$J_\lambda(u_n) = \alpha_\lambda + o(1) \quad \text{and} \quad J'_\lambda(u_n) = o(1) \quad \text{in } (\mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\Omega))^{-1}. \quad (4.1)$$

Since J_λ is coercive on \mathcal{N}_λ (see Lemma 2.1), we get that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Passing to a subsequence, there exists $u_\lambda \in \mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{cases} u_n \rightharpoonup u_\lambda \text{ weakly in } \mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\Omega), \\ u_n \rightharpoonup u_\lambda \text{ weakly in } L^{p^*}(\Omega), \\ u_n \rightarrow u_\lambda \text{ strongly in } L^r_{loc}(\Omega) \text{ for all } 1 \leq r < p^*, \\ u_n \rightarrow u_\lambda \text{ a.e. in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

By (f_1) and Lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$\lambda \int_\Omega f|u_n|^q = \lambda \int_\Omega f|u_\lambda|^q + o(1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3)$$

From (4.1)-(4.3), a standard argument shows that u_λ is a critical point of J_λ . Furthermore, the fact $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{N}_\lambda$ implies that

$$\lambda \int_\Omega f|u_n|^q = \frac{q(p^* - p)}{p(p^* - q)} \|u_n\|_\mu^p - \frac{p^*q}{p^* - q} J_\lambda(u_n). \quad (4.4)$$

Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (4.4), by (4.1), (4.3) and the fact $\alpha_\lambda < 0$, we get

$$\lambda \int_\Omega f|u_\lambda|^q \geq -\frac{p^*q}{p^* - q} \alpha_\lambda > 0. \quad (4.5)$$

Thus, $u_\lambda \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$ is a nontrivial solution of (1.1).

Next, we prove that $u_n \rightarrow u_\lambda$ strongly in $\mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $J_\lambda(u_\lambda) = \alpha_\lambda$. From (4.3), the fact $u_n, u_\lambda \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$ and the Fatou's lemma it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_\lambda &\leq J_\lambda(u_\lambda) = \frac{1}{N} \|u_\lambda\|_\mu^p - \lambda \frac{p^* - q}{p^*q} \int_\Omega f|u_\lambda|^q \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{N} \|u_n\|_\mu^p - \lambda \frac{p^* - q}{p^*q} \int_\Omega f|u_n|^q \right) \\ &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_\lambda(u_n) = \alpha_\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $J_\lambda(u_\lambda) = \alpha_\lambda$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_\mu^p = \|u_\lambda\|_\mu^p$. Standard argument shows that $u_n \rightarrow u_\lambda$ strongly in $\mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Moreover, $u_\lambda \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$. Otherwise, if $u_\lambda \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$, by Lemma 3.4, there exist unique t_λ^+ and t_λ^- such that $t_\lambda^+ u_\lambda \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$, $t_\lambda^- u_\lambda \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$ and $t_\lambda^+ < t_\lambda^- = 1$. Since

$$\frac{d}{dt} J_\lambda(t_\lambda^+ u_\lambda) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d^2}{dt^2} J_\lambda(t_\lambda^+ u_\lambda) > 0,$$

there exists $\bar{t} \in (t_\lambda^+, t_\lambda^-)$ such that $J_\lambda(t_\lambda^+ u_\lambda) < J_\lambda(\bar{t} u_\lambda)$. By Lemma 3.4, we get that

$$J_\lambda(t_\lambda^+ u_\lambda) < J_\lambda(\bar{t} u_\lambda) \leq J_\lambda(t_\lambda^- u_\lambda) = J_\lambda(u_\lambda),$$

which is a contradiction. If $u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$, then $|u| \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$, and by $J_\lambda(u_\lambda) = J_\lambda(|u_\lambda|) = \alpha_\lambda$, we get $|u_\lambda| \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$ is a local minimum of J_λ on \mathcal{N}_λ . Then, by Lemma 3.2, we may assume that u_λ is a nontrivial nonnegative solution of (1.1). By Harnack inequality due to Trudinger [26], we obtain that $u_\lambda > 0$ in Ω . Finally, by (3.3), the Hölder inequality and Sobolev embedding theorem, we obtain

$$\|u_\lambda\|_\mu^{p^*-q} < \lambda^{\frac{p^*-q}{p^*-p}} |f^+|_{q^*} S_\mu^{-\frac{q}{p}}.$$

which implies that $\|u_\lambda\|_\mu \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0^+$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1 From Theorem 4.3, it follows that the problem (1.1) has a positive solution $u_\lambda \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$ for all $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_0)$. \square

5 Proof of Theorem 1.2

For $1 < p < N$ and $\mu < \bar{\mu}$, let

$$c^* = \frac{1}{N} |g^+|_\infty^{-\frac{N-p}{p}} S_\mu^{\frac{N}{p}}.$$

Lemma 5.1 Suppose $\{u_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in $\mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. If $\{u_n\}$ is a $(PS)_c$ -sequence for J_λ with $c \in (0, c^*)$, then there exists a subsequence of $\{u_n\}$ converging weakly to a nonzero solution of (1.1).

Proof Let $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be a $(PS)_c$ -sequence for J_λ with $c \in (0, c^*)$. Since $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{cases} u_n \rightharpoonup u_0 \text{ weakly in } \mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\Omega), \\ u_n \rightharpoonup u_0 \text{ weakly in } L^{p^*}(\Omega), \\ u_n \rightarrow u_0 \text{ strongly in } L_{loc}^r(\Omega) \text{ for } 1 \leq r < p^*, \\ u_n \rightarrow u_0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

By (f_1) , (g_1) , (5.1) and Lemma 2.3, we have that $J'_\lambda(u_0) = 0$ and

$$\lambda \int_\Omega f |u_n|^q = \lambda \int_\Omega f |u_0|^q + o(1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (5.2)$$

Next, we verify that $u_0 \not\equiv 0$. Arguing by contradiction, we assume $u_0 \equiv 0$. Since $J'_\lambda(u_n) = o(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, then by (5.2), we can deduce that

$$0 = \langle \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J'_\lambda(u_n), u_n \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\|u_n\|_\mu^p - \int_\Omega g|u_n|^{p^*} \right).$$

Then, we can set

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_\mu^p = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega g|u_n|^{p^*} = l. \quad (5.3)$$

If $l = 0$, then we get $c = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_\lambda(u_n) = 0$, which is a contradiction. Thus, we conclude that $l > 0$. Furthermore, the Sobolev embedding theorem implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n\|_\mu^p &\geq S_\mu \left(\int_\Omega g|u_n|^{p^*} \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*}} \\ &\geq S_\mu \left(\int_\Omega \frac{g}{|g^+|_\infty} |u_n|^{p^*} \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*}} \\ &= S_\mu |g^+|_\infty^{-\frac{N-p}{N}} \left(\int_\Omega g|u_n|^{p^*} \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have $l = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_\mu^p \geq S_\mu |g^+|_\infty^{-\frac{N-p}{N}} l^{\frac{p}{p^*}}$, which implies that

$$l \geq |g^+|_\infty^{-\frac{N-p}{p}} S_\mu^{\frac{N}{p}}. \quad (5.4)$$

Hence, from (5.2)-(5.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} c &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_\lambda(u_n) \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_\mu^p - \frac{\lambda}{q} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega f|u_n|^q - \frac{1}{p^*} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega g|u_n|^{p^*} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) l \\ &\geq \frac{1}{N} |g^+|_\infty^{-\frac{N-p}{p}} S_\mu^{\frac{N}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

This is contrary to $c < c^*$. Therefore, u_0 is a nontrivial solution of (1.1). \square

Lemma 5.2 Suppose (\mathcal{H}) and $(f_1) - (g_2)$ hold. If $0 < \mu < \bar{\mu}$, $x_0 = 0$ and $\beta \geq p\gamma$, then for any $\lambda > 0$, there exists $v_\lambda \in \mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(tv_\lambda) < c^*. \quad (5.5)$$

In particular, $\alpha_\lambda^- < c^*$ for all $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_1)$.

Proof From [[11], Lemma 5.3], we get that if ε is small enough, there exist $t_\varepsilon > 0$ and the positive constants C_i ($i = 1, 2$) independent of ε , such that

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(tu_\varepsilon) = J_\lambda(t_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon) \text{ and } 0 < C_1 \leq t_\varepsilon \leq C_2 < \infty. \quad (5.6)$$

By (g_2) , we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega} g(x) |u_{\varepsilon}|^{p^*} - \int_{\Omega} g(0) |u_{\varepsilon}|^{p^*} \right| &\leq \int_{\Omega} |g(x) - g(0)| |u_{\varepsilon}|^{p^*} \\ &= O \left(\int_{B(0, \rho)} |x|^{\beta} |u_{\varepsilon}|^{p^*} \right) \\ &= O(\varepsilon^{\beta}), \end{aligned}$$

which together with Lemma 2.2 implies that

$$\int_{\Omega} g(x) |u_{\varepsilon}|^{p^*} = g(0) S_{\mu}^{\frac{N}{p}} + O(\varepsilon^{p^* \gamma}) + O(\varepsilon^{\beta}). \quad (5.7)$$

From the fact $\lambda > 0$, $1 < q < p$, $\beta \geq p\gamma$ and

$$\max_{t \geq 0} \left(\frac{t^p}{p} B_1 - \frac{t^{p^*}}{p^*} B_2 \right) = \frac{1}{N} B_1^{\frac{N}{p}} B_2^{-\frac{N-p}{p}}, \quad B_1 > 0, B_2 > 0,$$

and by Lemma 2.2, (5.7) and (f_2) , we get

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\lambda}(t_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}) &= \frac{t_{\varepsilon}^p}{p} \|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{\mu}^p - \frac{t_{\varepsilon}^{p^*}}{p^*} \int_{\Omega} g |u_{\varepsilon}|^{p^*} - \lambda \frac{t_{\varepsilon}^q}{q} \int_{\Omega} f |u_{\varepsilon}|^q \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N} \|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{\mu}^{\frac{N}{p}} \left(\int_{\Omega} g |u_{\varepsilon}|^{p^*} \right)^{-\frac{N-p}{p}} - \lambda \frac{C_1^q}{q} \beta_0 \int_{\Omega} |u_{\varepsilon}|^q \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \left(S_{\mu}^{\frac{N}{p}} + O(\varepsilon^{p\gamma}) \right)^{\frac{N}{p}} \left(g(0) S_{\mu}^{\frac{N}{p}} + O(\varepsilon^{p^* \gamma}) + O(\varepsilon^{\beta}) \right)^{-\frac{N-p}{p}} \\ &\quad - \lambda \frac{C_1^q}{q} \beta_0 \int_{\Omega} |u_{\varepsilon}|^q \\ &= \frac{1}{N} g(0)^{-\frac{N-p}{p}} S_{\mu}^{\frac{N}{p}} + O(\varepsilon^{p\gamma}) + O(\varepsilon^{\beta}) - \lambda \frac{C_1^q}{q} \beta_0 \int_{\Omega} |u_{\varepsilon}|^q. \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

By (5.6) and (5.8), we have that

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} J_{\lambda}(t u_{\varepsilon}) \leq c^* + O(\varepsilon^{p\gamma}) + O(\varepsilon^{\beta}) - \lambda \frac{C_1^q}{q} \beta_0 \int_{\Omega} |u_{\varepsilon}|^q. \quad (5.9)$$

(i) If $1 < q < \frac{N}{b(\mu)}$, then by Lemma 2.2 and $\gamma = b(\mu) - \delta = b(\mu) - \frac{N-p}{p} > 0$ we have that

$$\int_{\Omega} |u_{\varepsilon}|^q = O_1(\varepsilon^{q\gamma}).$$

Combining this with (5.9), for any $\lambda > 0$, we can choose ε_{λ} small enough such that

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} J_{\lambda}(t u_{\varepsilon_{\lambda}}) < c^*.$$

(ii) If $\frac{N}{b(\mu)} \leq q < p$, then by Lemma 2.2 and $\gamma > 0$ we have that

$$\int_{\Omega} |u_{\varepsilon}|^q = \begin{cases} O_1(\varepsilon^{\theta}), & q > \frac{N}{b(\mu)}, \\ O_1(\varepsilon^{\theta} |\ln \varepsilon|), & q = \frac{N}{b(\mu)}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$p\gamma = b(\mu)p + p - N > N + \left(1 - \frac{N}{p}\right)q = \theta.$$

Combining this with (5.9), for any $\lambda > 0$, we can choose ε_λ small enough such that

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(tu_{\varepsilon_\lambda}) < c^*.$$

From (i) and (ii), (5.5) holds by taking $v_\lambda = u_{\varepsilon_\lambda}$.

In fact, by (f_2) , (g_2) and the definition of u_{ε_λ} , we have that

$$\int_{\Omega} f|u_{\varepsilon_\lambda}|^q > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Omega} g|u_{\varepsilon_\lambda}|^{p^*} > 0.$$

From Lemma 3.4, the definition of α_λ^- and (5.5), for any $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_0)$, there exists $t_{\varepsilon_\lambda} > 0$ such that $t_{\varepsilon_\lambda} u_{\varepsilon_\lambda} \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$ and

$$\alpha_\lambda^- \leq J_\lambda(t_{\varepsilon_\lambda} u_{\varepsilon_\lambda}) \leq \sup_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(tu_{\varepsilon_\lambda}) < c^*.$$

The proof is thus complete. \square

Now, we establish the existence of a local minimum of J_λ on \mathcal{N}_λ^- .

Theorem 5.3 *Suppose (\mathcal{H}) and $(f_1) - (g_2)$ hold. If $0 < \mu < \bar{\mu}$, $x_0 = 0$, $\beta \geq p\gamma$ and $\lambda \in (0, \frac{q}{p}\Lambda_1)$, then there exists $U_\lambda \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$ such that*

- (i) $J_\lambda(U_\lambda) = \alpha_\lambda^-$,
- (ii) U_λ is a positive solution of (1.1).

Proof If $\lambda \in (0, \frac{q}{p}\Lambda_1)$, then by Lemmas 3.5 (ii), 4.2 (ii) and 5.2, there exists a $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$ -sequence $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$ in $\mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ for J_λ with $\alpha_\lambda^- \in (0, c^*)$. Since J_λ is coercive on \mathcal{N}_λ^- (see Lemma 3.1), we get that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. From Lemma 5.1, there exists a subsequence still denoted by $\{u_n\}$ and a nontrivial solution $U_\lambda \in \mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ of (1.1) such that $u_n \rightharpoonup U_\lambda$ weakly in $\mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

First, we prove that $U_\lambda \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$. On the contrary, if $U_\lambda \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$, then by $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^- \cup \{0\}$ is closed in $\mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, we have $\|U_\lambda\|_\mu < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_\mu$. From (g_2) and $U_\lambda \not\equiv 0$ in Ω , we have $\int_{\Omega} g|U_\lambda|^{p^*} > 0$. Thus, by Lemma 3.4, there exists a unique t_λ such that $t_\lambda U_\lambda \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$. If $u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$, then it is easy to see that

$$J_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{N} \|u\|_\mu^p - \lambda \left(\frac{p^* - q}{p^* q} \right) \int_{\Omega} f|u|^q. \quad (5.10)$$

From Remark 3.6, $u_n \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$ and (5.10), we can deduce that

$$\alpha_\lambda^- \leq J_\lambda(t_\lambda U_\lambda) < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_\lambda(t_\lambda u_n) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_\lambda(u_n) = \alpha_\lambda^-.$$

This is a contradiction. Thus, $U_\lambda \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$.

Next, by the same argument as that in Theorem 4.3, we get that $u_n \rightarrow U_\lambda$ strongly in $\mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $J_\lambda(U_\lambda) = \alpha_\lambda^- > 0$ for all $\lambda \in (0, \frac{q}{p}\Lambda_1)$. Since $J_\lambda(U_\lambda) = J_\lambda(|U_\lambda|)$ and $|U_\lambda| \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$, by Lemma 3.2, we may assume that U_λ is a nontrivial nonnegative solution

of (1.1). Finally, by Harnack inequality due to Trudinger [26], we obtain that U_λ is a positive solution of (1.1). \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2 From Theorem 4.3, we get the first positive solution $u_\lambda \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$ for all $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_0)$. From Theorem 5.3, we get the second positive solution $U_\lambda \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$ for all $\lambda \in (0, \frac{d}{p}\Lambda_0)$. Since $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^- \cap \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+ = \emptyset$, this implies that u_λ and U_λ are distinct. \square

6 Proof of Theorem 1.3

In this section, we consider the case $\mu \leq 0$. In this case, it is well-known $S_\mu = S_0$ where S_μ is defined as in (1.2). Thus, we have $c^* = \frac{1}{N}|g^+|_\infty^{-\frac{N-p}{p}} S_0^{\frac{N}{p}}$ when $\mu \leq 0$.

Lemma 6.1 *Suppose (\mathcal{H}) and $(f_1) - (g_2)$ hold. If $N \leq p^2$, $\mu < 0$, $x_0 \neq 0$ and $\frac{\beta}{p} \geq \tilde{\gamma} := \frac{N-p}{p(p-1)}$, then for any $\lambda > 0$ and $\mu < 0$, there exists $v_{\lambda,\mu} \in \mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that*

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(tv_{\lambda,\mu}) < c^*. \quad (6.1)$$

In particular, $\alpha_\lambda^- < c^*$ for all $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_1)$.

Proof Note that S_0 has the following explicit extremals [27]:

$$V_\varepsilon(x) = \bar{C}\varepsilon^{-\frac{N-p}{p}} \left(1 + \left(\frac{|x-x_0|}{\varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \right)^{-\frac{N-p}{p}}, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0, x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $\bar{C} > 0$ is a particular constant. Take $\rho > 0$ small enough such that $B(x_0; \rho) \subset \Omega \setminus \{0\}$ and set $\tilde{u}_\varepsilon(x) = \varphi(x)V_\varepsilon(x)$, where $\varphi(x) \in C_0^\infty(B(x_0; \rho))$ is a cutoff function such that $\varphi(x) \equiv 1$ in $B(x_0; \rho/2)$. Arguing as in Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla \tilde{u}_\varepsilon|^p = S_0^{\frac{N}{p}} + O(\varepsilon^{p\tilde{\gamma}}), \quad (6.2)$$

$$\int_\Omega |\tilde{u}_\varepsilon|^{p^*} = S_0^{\frac{N}{p}} + O(\varepsilon^{p^*\tilde{\gamma}}), \quad (6.3)$$

$$\int_\Omega |\tilde{u}_\varepsilon|^q = \begin{cases} O_1(\varepsilon^\theta), & \frac{N(p-1)}{N-p} < q < p^*, \\ O_1(\varepsilon^\theta |\ln \varepsilon|), & q = \frac{N(p-1)}{N-p}, \\ O_1(\varepsilon^{q\tilde{\gamma}}), & 1 \leq q < \frac{N(p-1)}{N-p}, \end{cases} \quad (6.4)$$

where $\theta = N - \frac{N-p}{p}q$. Note that $\beta \geq p\tilde{\gamma}$, $p^*\tilde{\gamma} > p\tilde{\gamma}$. Arguing as in Lemma 5.2, we deduce that there exists \tilde{t}_ε satisfying $0 < C_1 \leq \tilde{t}_\varepsilon \leq C_2$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(\tilde{t}_\varepsilon \tilde{u}_\varepsilon) &\leq \sup_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(t\tilde{u}_\varepsilon) = J_\lambda(\tilde{t}_\varepsilon \tilde{u}_\varepsilon) \\ &= \frac{\tilde{t}_\varepsilon^p}{p} \int_\Omega |\nabla \tilde{u}_\varepsilon|^p - \frac{\tilde{t}_\varepsilon^{p^*}}{p^*} \int_\Omega g|\tilde{u}_\varepsilon|^{p^*} - \lambda \frac{\tilde{t}_\varepsilon^q}{q} \int_\Omega f|\tilde{u}_\varepsilon|^q - \mu \frac{\tilde{t}_\varepsilon^p}{p} \int_\Omega \frac{|\tilde{u}_\varepsilon|^p}{|x|^p} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N} g(x_0)^{-\frac{N-p}{p}} S_\mu^{\frac{N}{p}} + O(\varepsilon^{p\tilde{\gamma}}) - \lambda \frac{C_1^q}{q} \beta_0 \int_\Omega |\tilde{u}_\varepsilon|^q \\ &\quad - \mu ||x_0| - \rho|^{-p} \frac{C_2^p}{p} \int_\Omega |\tilde{u}_\varepsilon|^p. \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$

From (\mathcal{H}) , $N \leq p^2$ and (6.4), we can deduce that

$$1 < q\tilde{\gamma} < p\tilde{\gamma} = \frac{N-p}{p-1} \leq p \leq \frac{N(p-1)}{N-p}$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} |\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}|^q = O_1(\varepsilon^{q\tilde{\gamma}}) \text{ and } \int_{\Omega} |\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}|^p = \begin{cases} O_1(\varepsilon^p |\ln \varepsilon|), & p = \frac{N(p-1)}{N-p}, \\ O_1(\varepsilon^{p\tilde{\gamma}}), & 1 < p < \frac{N(p-1)}{N-p}. \end{cases}$$

Combining this with (6.5), for any $\lambda > 0$ and $\mu < 0$, we can choose $\varepsilon_{\lambda,\mu}$ small enough such that

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} J_{\lambda}(t\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon_{\lambda,\mu}}) < \frac{1}{N} g(x_0) - \frac{N-p}{p} S_0^{\frac{N}{p}} = c^*.$$

Therefore, (6.1) holds by taking $v_{\lambda,\mu} = \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon_{\lambda,\mu}}$.

In fact, by (f_2) , (g_2) and the definition of $\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon_{\lambda,\mu}}$, we have that

$$\int_{\Omega} f|\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon_{\lambda,\mu}}|^q > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Omega} g|\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon_{\lambda,\mu}}|^{p^*} > 0.$$

From Lemma 3.4, the definition of α_{λ}^- and (6.1), for any $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_0)$ and $\mu < 0$, there exists $t_{\varepsilon_{\lambda,\mu}} > 0$ such that $t_{\varepsilon_{\lambda,\mu}} \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon_{\lambda,\mu}} \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^-$ and

$$\alpha_{\lambda}^- \leq J_{\lambda}(t_{\varepsilon_{\lambda,\mu}} \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon_{\lambda,\mu}}) \leq \sup_{t \geq 0} J_{\lambda}(t t_{\varepsilon_{\lambda,\mu}} \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon_{\lambda,\mu}}) < c^*.$$

The proof is thus complete. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3 Let $\Lambda_1(0)$ be defined as in (1.3). Arguing as in Theorems 4.3 and 5.3, we can get the first positive solution $\tilde{u}_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^+$ for all $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_1(0))$ and the second positive solution $\tilde{U}_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^-$ for all $\lambda \in (0, \frac{d}{p} \Lambda_1(0))$. Since $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^+ \cap \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^- = \emptyset$, this implies that \tilde{u}_{λ} and \tilde{U}_{λ} are distinct. \square

Acknowledgements

The author is grateful for the referee's valuable suggestions.

Received: 13 April 2011 Accepted: 19 October 2011 Published: 19 October 2011

References

- Hardy, G, Littlewood, J, Polya, G: Inequalities, Reprint of the 1952 edition, Cambridge Math. Lib. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1988)
- Caffarelli, L, Kohn, R, Nirenberg, L: First order interpolation inequality with weights. *Compos Math.* **53**, 259–275 (1984)
- Abdellaoui, B, Felli, V, Peral, I: Existence and non-existence results for quasilinear elliptic equations involving the p -Laplacian. *Boll Unione Mat Ital Scz B.* **9**, 445–484 (2006)
- Cao, D, Han, P: Solutions for semilinear elliptic equations with critical exponents and Hardy potential. *J Differ Equ.* **205**, 521–537 (2004). doi:10.1016/j.jde.2004.03.005
- Ghoussoub, N, Robert, F: The effect of curvature on the best constant in the Hardy-Sobolev inequalities. *Geom Funct Anal.* **16**, 897–908 (2006)
- Kang, D, Peng, S: Solutions for semilinear elliptic problems with critical Sobolev-Hardy exponents and Hardy potential. *Appl Math Lett.* **18**, 1094–1100 (2005). doi:10.1016/j.aml.2004.09.016
- Cao, D, Kang, D: Solutions of quasilinear elliptic problems involving a Sobolev exponent and multiple Hardy-type terms. *J Math Anal Appl.* **333**, 889–903 (2007). doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2006.12.005
- Ghoussoub, N, Yuan, C: Multiple solutions for quasi-linear PDEs involving the critical Sobolev and Hardy exponents. *Trans Am Math Soc.* **352**, 5703–5743 (2000). doi:10.1090/S0002-9947-00-02560-5
- Han, P: Quasilinear elliptic problems with critical exponents and Hardy terms. *Nonlinear Anal.* **61**, 735–758 (2005). doi:10.1016/j.na.2005.01.030
- Kang, D: On the quasilinear elliptic problems with critical Sobolev-Hardy exponents and Hardy terms. *Nonlinear Anal.* **68**, 1973–1985 (2008). doi:10.1016/j.na.2007.01.024

11. Wang, L, Wei, Q, Kang, D: Multiple positive solutions for p -Laplace elliptic equations involving concave-convex nonlinearities and a Hardy-type term. *Nonlinear Anal.* **74**, 626–638 (2011). doi:10.1016/j.na.2010.09.017
12. Felli, V, Terracini, S: Elliptic equations with multi-singular inverse-square potentials and critical nonlinearity. *Commun Part Differ Equ.* **31**, 469–495 (2006). doi:10.1080/03605300500394439
13. Li, J: Existence of solution for a singular elliptic equation with critical Sobolev-Hardy exponents. *Intern J Math Math Sci.* **20**, 3213–3223 (2005)
14. Filippucci, R, Pucci, P, Robert, F: On a p -Laplace equation with multiple critical nonlinearities. *J Math Pures Appl.* **91**, 156–177 (2009). doi:10.1016/j.matpur.2008.09.008
15. Gazzini, M, Musina, R: On a Sobolev-type inequality related to the weighted p -Laplace operator. *J Math Anal Appl.* **352**, 99–111 (2009). doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2008.06.021
16. Kang, D: Solution of the quasilinear elliptic problem with a critical Sobolev-Hardy exponent and a Hardy term. *J Math Anal Appl.* **341**, 764–782 (2008). doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2007.10.058
17. Pucci, P, Servadei, R: Existence, non-existence and regularity of radial ground states for p -Laplace weights. *Ann Inst H Poincaré Anal Non Linéaire.* **25**, 505–537 (2008). doi:10.1016/j.anihpc.2007.02.004
18. Hsu, TS, Lin, HL: Multiple positive solutions for singular elliptic equations with concave-convex nonlinearities and sign-changing weights. *Boundary Value Problems* **2009**, 17 (2009). Article ID 584203
19. Hsu, TS: Multiplicity results for p -Laplacian with critical nonlinearity of concave-convex type and sign-changing weight functions. *Abstr Appl Anal* **2009**, 24 (2009). Article ID 652109
20. Kang, D, Huang, Y, Liu, S: Asymptotic estimates on the extremal functions of a quasilinear elliptic problem. *J S Cent Univ Natl.* **27**, 91–95 (2008)
21. Ben-Naoum, AK, Troestler, C, Willem, M: Extrema problems with critical Sobolev exponents on unbounded domains. *Nonlinear Anal.* **26**, 823–833 (1996). doi:10.1016/0362-546X(94)00324-B
22. Tarantello, G: On nonhomogeneous elliptic involving critical Sobolev exponent. *Ann Inst H Poincaré Anal Non Linéaire.* **9**, 281–304 (1992)
23. Brown, KJ, Zhang, Y: The Nehari manifold for a semilinear elliptic equation with a sign-changing weight function. *J Differ Equ.* **193**, 481–499 (2003). doi:10.1016/S0022-0396(03)00121-9
24. Brown, KJ, Wu, TF: A semilinear elliptic system involving nonlinear boundary condition and sign-changing weight function. *J Math Anal Appl.* **337**, 1326–1336 (2008). doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2007.04.064
25. Wu, TF: On semilinear elliptic equations involving concave-convex nonlinearities and sign-changing weight function. *J Math Anal Appl.* **318**, 253–270 (2006). doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2005.05.057
26. Trudinger, NS: On Harnack type inequalities and their application to quasilinear elliptic equations. *Commun Pure Appl Math.* **20**, 721–747 (1967). doi:10.1002/cpa.3160200406
27. Talenti, G: Best constant in Sobolev inequality. *Ann Mat Pura Appl.* **110**, 353–372 (1976). doi:10.1007/BF02418013

doi:10.1186/1687-2770-2011-37

Cite this article as: Hsu: Multiple positive solutions for a class of quasi-linear elliptic equations involving concave-convex nonlinearities and Hardy terms. *Boundary Value Problems* 2011 **2011**:37.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com